ITEM
1.0 GENERAL FUNCTIONS

1.1 Call to Order: President Glen called the meeting to order at 2:120 p.m.

1.2 Approval of the Minutes: Francisco Zermeño moved to approve the January 25, 2007 minutes and Barbara Ogman seconded. The motion to approve carried.

2.0 REPORTS

2.1 College President's Report: Dr. Robert Carlson reported that after discussions that included LPC and the District Office, that the release time for the Accreditation Self-Study Faculty Chair would be 0.25 FTEF in Fall, 2007; 0.50 FTEF in Spring, 2008; and 0.25 FTEF for Fall, 2008 for a total 1.0 FTEF. If it appears that more release time is needed, it will be up for discussion.

Dr. Carlson stated that the demolition of buildings 800 and 900 will begin in the fall. As a result of changes in the way the State will allocate monies, Chabot College will save $1 million dollars. Dr. Carlson
responded to questions on this topic from Dave Fouquet. Fouquet stated that building 1700 has gone the longest without a remodel and asked when it would be included in a request for funding for improvement. Dr. Carlson relied that it would be included in the next bond issue to the state. In regards to the plans for currently planned improvements, Fouquet stated that the Mathematics department has requested that black chalkboards be installed in appropriate rooms, but that white boards were planned. Dr. Carlson responded that there will be some “retro” classrooms. These suggestions and concerns should be brought to the user group.

Dr. Carlson reported that he has been researching the subject of SLOs from the point of view of which colleges have been engaged in the process and what have they done that has been successful. SLOs were brought into play as a result of a movement begun in the 1990’s by the League of Innovation to set national standards for education. Dr. Carlson distributed a handout titled Learning Colleges: Looking for Revolution-but Embracing Evolution. He cited Beacon College as being the first college to demonstrate how assessment and outcomes could be used in the educational process. The resulting change in educational theory from the conventional to transforming has been measured but at a steady pace.

After Dr. Carlson completed his report and left the room, President Glen brought forward a question about an issue raised by Daniel Hines, an ASCC member, at the Board of Trustees meeting concerning the position of Director of Student Life which was vacant and not expected to be filled for at least this year due to lack of funding. The funds have been re-allocated to fill other budget shortfalls. Daryl Minus, Dean of Counseling, was named to fill the position. The ASCC is searching for other funding sources, perhaps to become self-sustaining in the model of Community Education. Melinda Matsuda, when asked if she could give some broader information or advice, responded that, although she agreed that the Office of Student Life was important and required both an administrator and an advisor who could be more available than he/she was at this time, often, when budget cuts need to be made, student services are, unfortunately, the first targets. She urged the ASCC to advocate strongly for the position to be filled. Francisco Zermeño suggested that if faculty advisors meet with Dr. Carlson and demand that the position be filled, it could have a significant impact. He commented that it doesn’t make sense to have a drive to enroll students (at the Open house in May, etc.) if the college will not offer vital student services. He called on the Academic Senate to pass a motion demanding that the position be filled. Additional discussion followed. Robert Lu stated that Daniel Hinds was writing a resolution that will be presented to the Academic Senate for consideration. Glen urged the ASCC and students to attend College Council and Board of Trustees meetings to present their argument. David Fouquet remarked that the FTF budget is centralized. If you want funding, one way to direct monies is to document all the services students are deprived of. Research past records (e.g. for activities), collect physical evidence, then present it to College Council, Board of Trustees, and Academic Senate. Zermeño: be proactive!
2.2 ASCC Report: Before calling for the ASCC report, President Glen congratulated Robert Lu, ASCC representative and former ASCC Vice-President, on his appointment as the new President of the ASCC following the resignation of Hollis Bernadette for personal reasons. Lu will serve as ASCC President until he is elected or appointed to the office. He will continue to represent the ASCC at Academic Senate meetings.

Glen asked Lu to elaborate, if he could, on the circumstances surrounding the resignation of Curtis Ballard, former ASCC Senator and ISCC chair. Ballard has requested to put an item on the Academic Senate agenda. As a student he has a right to bring forward an agenda item, but the Senate wants to be clear about his standing with the ASCC. Lu responded that Ballard was removed from office after a formal grievance had been filed against him with the Judiciary Review Committee. His removal was voted on and approved by the ASCC Senate by more than the 2/3 vote required. Glen responded that, when Ballard appears before the Senate, he is to bring an outline of the actions he wants to have happen and not use his appearance at the Senate as a brainstorming session. Glen will make it clear that Ballard is not acting as a representative of ASCC.

Glen, as advisor to a Club, requested that Lu clarify what ASCC policy was in regards to matching funds raised by a Club for activities, specifically whether there was now a minimum $200.00 that a Club needed to raise before ASCC would provide matching funds. Lu responded that he wasn’t aware of this policy, if it existed.

The ASCC is sponsoring a number of events: Health Center and ASCC sponsored presentation about HPV and Cervical Cancer Awareness on February 22, from 2:00-3:00 p.m. in the Health Center; Black History Month Event Open Mic Event and Free Lunch (co-sponsored by ASCC and Black Students Union) on February 27, from 12:00-1:00 p.m. in Building. 2300; raising money for clubs on campus to fund activities. ASCC will meet next on March 7, from 3:00-5:00 p.m. in the Board Room. Faculty are invited to attend.

ASCC is in the process of completing a detailed Events Calendar which will also include Student Issues events, Health Center, ASCC-sponsored events, Club meeting dates, theater performance dates, and all ASCC board meeting dates. The March calendar will appear on the Chabot College website. The ASCC has a MySpace page as well.

2.3 Senate President’s Report: Chad Mark Glen reported that he crafted a first draft of how a committee formed to manage and disburse Nike Site Campus Art Funds
might be structured. Determining what constitutes art is subjective; therefore, a process needs to be established to determine what constitutes appropriate art for Chabot College and where it should be placed. Further discussion on this topic already appears on the agenda as item 4.2 The Senate may want to move this discussion item to an action item because the deadline for feedback is the next College Council meeting on March 9. Francisco Zermeño stated that the Language Arts department seemed to feel that the $150,000 targeted for art to be placed in Building 200 is an excessive amount when compared that only the remaining $350,000 will be available for the rest of the campus.

Glen attended the Board of Trustees meeting and submitted his regular report. At the same meeting Dr. Carlson distributed DVDs of the faculty/student production “Reading Between the Lives” to Board members and urged them to view the movie carefully to better understand what is happening on campus.

2.4 CLPFA: Dave Fouquet reported that although the new salary scheduled has been approved, it hasn’t yet been published. If the District Office doesn’t publish it in the next one or two weeks, the CLPFA will release it. The approved salary increase was 8%. The bargaining for the new contract is almost complete. There are still some odds and ends, primarily in the contract language such as the selection process for peer-evaluation, that still need to be agreed upon. He hopes the contract will appear in mailboxes soon, but it may be as late as Convocation. A major contract issue that will be negotiated in the future is that of health benefits for retirees, including items such as co-pays and how districts should put aside monies for future benefit payments. Francisco Zermeño asked, in light of the fact that there will be a new Chancellor and new President at LPC, if the salaries for these positions will be raised. President Glen replied that the Chancellor Search Committee will have its first meeting on March 9. He will report back to the Senate what, if any, salary increase will be offered.

2.5 Public Comments: None.

3.0 ACTION ITEMS

3.1 Nike Site $500,000 Campus Art Update: Nancy Cowan moved to make Discussion Item 4.2 an Action Item and Francisco Zermeño seconded. The motion carried unanimously.

Barbara Ogman moved to approve the Campus Art Committee (Nike Site $500,000) structure below and Nancy Cowan seconded. After discussion, the motion passed.
**Campus Art Committee (Nike Site $500,000)**

Open and uses consensus decision-making processes. Keeps and posts minutes on the web. The chair will e-mail meeting dates, time and location to all faculty at least 72 hours prior to meeting.

**Charge:**
- Recommend specific art pieces to the Facilities Committee.
- Recommend specific locations for particular art pieces to the Facilities Committee.
- Coordinates with the sources of art and the Facilities Committee.
- Recommend distribution and expenditure of allocated arts funds to the Facilities Committee.
- Recommend long term campus art plan.

**Chair:** The Committee shall select one of their representatives to be Chair.

**Core Representatives:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Administration (1)</th>
<th>Dean of Arts and Humanities.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facilities Committee (1)</td>
<td>Appointed by the Facilities Committee.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic Senate (3):</td>
<td>Appointed by the Academic Senate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classified Senate (2):</td>
<td>Appointed by the Classified Senate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associated Students (1):</td>
<td>Appointed by the Associated Students.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reporting/Recommending Responsibilities:**

Primary –
- Facilities Committee
- College Council

**DISCUSSION:** Glen directed attention to the Draft Campus Art Committee (Nike Site $500,000) handout in the Senator’s package. He included the Nike Site $500,000 in the name to indicate that this committee would not be ongoing but dedicated to this specific task only.

The discussion resulted in the following changes: under **Charge:** another bullet would be added to read *Recommend a long-term campus art plan.* Under **Core Representatives:** the number of representatives would be reduced.
A lively discussion ensued. Barbara Ogden inquired why this item was brought to the Academic Senate. Glen directed Senators’ attention to their copy of a memo from Dr. Ron Taylor to Chancellor Cota regarding the Nike Site Art Funds. The Board of Trustees had read the memo and inquired if feedback had been gathered from faculty, students, and staff. As a consequence of this inquiry the item was placed on the Academic Senate’s agenda. Although the Senate would not be forming the Campus Art Committee, it would formulate a draft document suggesting how such a committee would be structured and charged. Following the approval of a draft document, President Glen would present it to College Council.

Please see Reports Item 5.3 below for feedback from the Counseling Division on this topic.

4.0 DISCUSSION ITEMS

4.1 Draft AS Degree Philosophy / Guidelines: AA/AS Degree Draft Philosophy Statements: President Glen called for a report from the Sub-Committee that had been formed to work on this task at the meeting of February 8. Dara Greene, a member, reported that as yet the sub-committee had not met and volunteered to schedule a first meeting. She continued to say that the Counseling Division had some concerns about how to write a class that would fit AS Individual Occupational Majors (IOMs). Jane Church requested that guidelines be written. Glen remarked that this question about IOMs as well as other issues would best be addressed by the Curriculum Committee as it continues with the AS Degree revisions discussion. Anne Brichacek stated the she had searched websites of other colleges on this topic. She suggested that, instead of writing many statements (one for the AA degree and another for the AS degree for general use by the counseling office, and then re-wording them for students), a better approach might be to write a statement about what it means to receive an associate degree from Chabot College and then statements about what expectations a student who receives an AA versus an AS degree might have for future endeavors. She credited Ohlone College for this approach and agreed to send a copy of the Ohlone statement to President Glen for distribution.

4.2 Nike Site $500,000 Campus Art Update: Please see Action Item 3.1 above.

4.3 Learning Assessment: Dr. Carolyn Arnold and President Glen reported that progress was steady but slow. A first task was to determine who should be on the Steering Committee. The committee would create a timeline and describe and assign tasks. The committee would probably meet twice a month. Some people had already started working on the SLOACs. Zermeño reported that Language Arts has already developed a rubric that, though currently an activity, will become an SLO. On another topic, Dr. Arnold said that she was pleased by the good turnout for the critical thinking workshop she presented on Flex Day. About 20
people participated. She’s considering repeating the event at a College Hour. Rachel LePell will soon publish a report about Flex Day.

4.4 Administrator Evaluations: Glen asked if there were any further questions or comments about this issue. He remarked that the Academic Senate was following up on this item based on a request brought from Stephanie Zappa on behalf of the Language Arts division. Since there was no further discussion, the item will be taken off the Agenda. It may be brought back as an Agenda item when and if a concern or question arises.

4.5 Accreditation Self-Study Faculty Chair: This item had been tabled from the meeting of February 8. The topic for discussion was: how to select an Accreditation Self-Study Faculty Chair. Should the Senate nominate a representative or should a call be put out for interested parties. Zermeño suggested that a volunteer from the Academic Senate would be preferable. If no one stepped forward, then the request should be sent out to the faculty at large. Glen responded that he was interested, if no one else was and if the Senate was interested in having him sever in that capacity. The timing was right since he will be the Immediate Past Senate President and, as a former Accreditation Standards chair, he would bring valuable experience to the task. Dr. Carlson stated in his report that the release time for the Accreditation Self-Study Faculty Chair would be 0.25 FTEF in Fall, 2007; 0.50 FTEF in Spring, 2008; and 0.25 FTEF for Fall, 2008 for a total 1.0 FTEF. Discussion ensued. The question brought forward was if this was enough release time, especially in light of the tasks ongoing around SLOs. It was suggested that, when the name of the representative was sent to Dr. Carlson, a strong request for additional release time be included. Glen responded that he believes that Dr. Cota wants total parity between Chabot and LPC, so an increase in release time might be tough to get. Ming Ho commented that Chabot is an independent entity and is 50% larger than LPC; therefore, more money should be allocated to Chabot to make it equitable, if not equal. The Senate agreed to forward the name of Chad Mark Glen for Accreditation Self-Study Faculty Chair to Dr. Carlson.

5.0 REPORTS II

5.1 Senate Committees: No reports.

5.3 Senators: Dara Greene submitted the following report from her division on the Nike Art Funds: To be honest with you and the Senate, I was aghast that we were going to use $350K for Art (although I am a HUGE fan of adding to the aesthetic on our beautiful campus) when there are so many other pressing needs that could be used for Facilities purposes for students. How about creating a true Student
Lounge on campus that would be visible (to avoid vandalism and crime in that space). The following is my equation: commuter campus = lack of a reason to stay on campus = lack of student life = lack of involvement in "college life" = lack of retention. Add into this that our VP of Student Services and the President are trying to ELIMINATE the Director (should return to Dean of Student Life) and you have a pot boiler of a problem.

I like the idea of ground based colorful mosaics on our campus. This campus really needs color and art badly.

My feeling would be the idea of permanent campus facility structures is a good one and maybe some of it should be used for developing the artistic appeal. I would hope thought would be given to adding structures that have function, as well as, aesthetic appeal. For example, benches with backs rather than seats only adding an artistic element such as permanency statuary to the bench. Fountains are expensive to keep up, that seems out to me. The central courtyard needs a degree of aesthetic and would be an excellent place for the investment. I am sure this will go through much more scrutiny before the funds are expended but it is always good to have these discussions early.

I'm all for making the campus more visually appealing with art works. I think it's great that the issue of security is addressed, although personally I don't think we'll have any vandalism issues. Considering all the work that is being done for remodeling the campus buildings I think it's worthwhile to spend funds on this as well, keeping in mind the new campus layout. I don't have any specific ideas to add at this time...just want to say I'm in favor of this, have no clue about the monetary amounts suggested but trust the art faculty, and would be interested in knowing more about a timeline as to when this will happen.

A bronze statue that is unique and something that people can relate too. Perhaps it could be located in the middle of a garden with a mosaic.

Glen asked Senators to calendar April 17 for the Recognition Ceremony, which will be held in the Little Theater from 3:30-5:00 p.m. Special entertainment will include dancing by Dave Fouquet and Ming Ho and the songs of an a cappella choir led by Mark Schaeffer. Of course, wonderful refreshments will be served.
6.0 FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

6.1 Senate Presidential Election Committee— Chad Mark Glen
6.2 Recognition Ceremony April 17, 3:30 – 5:00, Little Theater — Chad Mark Glen
6.3 At-Large Faculty Vote on Senate Constitution Changes— Chad Mark Glen;
6.4 District Faculty Hire Procedures— Chad Mark Glen;
6.5 Minimum Qualifications Equivalencies for Faculty— Chad Mark Glen;
6.6 Faculty Working With ASCC to Publicize Events— Curtis Ballard.
6.7 Director of Student Life Position— Robert Lu

Meeting adjourned at 4:45 PM.

Next Meeting— March 8, 2007
Spring Meetings— 2nd & 4th Thursdays.
March 22, April 5* & 26, May 10.
(*Special Meetings— not on 2nd or 4th Thursday)

AB/CMG