### Accomplishments 2007-08

**Assessing Student Learning and Institutional Effectiveness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic Plan</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Accomplished?</th>
<th>Explanatory Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Goal 24, Objective 24a; Lead Facilities Committee | Aggressively implement Facilities Master Plan, focusing on learning-centered college concepts as integral to the design of each new facility. | Partially accomplished; In progress. | Continue on-going work of pre-programming new or modernized facilities, and providing advice and consent to the design phases of programming, schematic design, design development, and preparation of construction documents.  
- Bond Measure B activities are organized into numerous smaller groups and are ongoing. User Groups work with the design professionals to develop the programming and designs for each project. Subcommittees tackle specialized issues, such as classroom and office standards, relocation issues, and in the past, Quick Starts. The Facilities Committee as a whole reviews the findings, recommendations and designs for major project as they reach design phase milestones.  
- The primary resource needed for stakeholders to participate in the process is time. Many people across the campus have generously committed great time and effort to this cause and are often overextended trying to meet the aggressive schedule of project implementation.  
- Each design team responsible for a project must submit continuously updated budgets as programs and design are developed.  
- Progress on the Measure B is generally easy to assess. A timetable set forth by DMJM establishes key dates for the completion of each phase of work. To date, most projects are on schedule. | Document Sources |