Chabot College

Faculty/Classified Professional Staff/Administrator Accreditation Surveys Comparison of Fall 1995, Fall 2001, Spring 2008 Similar Survey Items, by 2008 Standards

Based on samples of faculty, classified professional staff, and administrators in Fall 1995 (n=278), Fall 2001 (n=249), Spring 2008 (n=566)

		Percent who					
Standard I: Institutional Mission & Effectiveness		Agree	P	ercentage	e of those 1	espondin	g
		or	Strongly				Strongly
		Strongly Agree	Disagree	Disagree	Neutral*	Agree	Agree
B. Improving Institutional Effectiveness							ĺ
Planning							
I have had input into the annual planning process in my division.	1995	58%	14%	17%	11%	37%	21%
I have had an opportunity to provide input into the College strategic planning process.	2001	56%	10%	16%	17%	48%	8%
I have had sufficient opportunity to provide input into the college-wide planning process.	2008	49%	7%	20%	24%	36%	13%
The planning of educational programs, student services, staffing, and the use of							
physical and financial resources is sufficiently coordinated.	1995	24%	12%	39%	25%	20%	5%
	2001	21%	18%	41%	20%	18%	3%
The planning of educational programs, student services, staffing, and							
the use of physical and financial resources is sufficiently integrated.	2008	32%	10%	24%	34%	25%	7%
The college planning process is capable of responding/responds within a reasonable time to	:						
• changing needs such as student characteristics or demand for courses.	1995	26%	13%	36%	25%	23%	2%
• changing factors such as student characteristics, labor markets, or course demand.	2001	18%	15%	37%	30%	16%	2%
• changing factors such as student characteristics, labor markets, or course demand.	2008	34%	9%	20%	37%	26%	8%
1995: In the design and development of policies and programs:							
2001: In the design, development, and revision of programs and services:							ĺ
2008: In the planning, development, evaluation, and revision of programs and services:							
• institutional research results are used.	1995	37%	5%	15%	43%	33%	3%
• institutional research results are used.	2001	45%	7%	16%	33%	41%	4%
• institutional research results are used.	2008	53%	4%	8%	36%	41%	12%

		Percent who					
		Agree		ercentage	e of those r	espondin	g
Standard I: Institutional Mission & Effectiveness (continued)		or	Strongly				Strongly
	S	Strongly Agree	Disagree	Disagree	Neutral*	Agree	Agree
B. Improving Institutional Effectiveness							
Budget and Grants							
I have a clear understanding of the role of the College Budget Committee							
in the budget process.	1995	20%	21%	39%	20%	16%	4%
In the development of the college budget, I have a clear understanding of the role of: • the Institutional Planning and Budget Council (IPBC)	2001	23%	24%	35%	18%	19%	4%
• the Institutional Planning and Budget Council (IPBC)	2008	22%	14%	31%	33%	18%	4%
• the College Budget Study Group (CBSG)	2001	20%	25%	37%	18%	17%	3%
• the College Budget Committee.	2008	24%	14%	28%	34%	20%	4%
There is adequate coordination between faculty, classified staff, and administration							
in the college budget development process.	1995	11%	27%	45%	17%	10%	0%
In the college budget development process: • there is adequate coordination between faculty, classified staff, and administration.	2001	16%	26%	36%	22%	15%	2%
In the budget development process in my area/unit:							
• there is adequate communication between faculty, classified staff, and administration.	2008	42%	11%			32%	10%
I have adequate opportunity for participation in the budget process.	1995	22%	21%	35%	22%	21%	1%
In the college budget development process:							
• I have adequate opportunity for participation.	2001	31%	19%	28%	21%	27%	4%
In the budget development process in my area/unit:							
• I have adequate opportunity for participation.	2008	46%	8%	20%	26%	34%	12%

		Percent who					
		Agree	P	ercentage	of those r	esponding	g
Standard I: Institutional Mission & Effectiveness (continued)		or	Strongly				Strongly
	St	rongly Agree	Disagree	Disagree	Neutral*	Agree	Agree
B. Improving Institutional Effectiveness							
Integrity, Equity, Diversity							
My administrator would back me up in professional classroom practices.	1995	76%	5%		15%	43%	33%
	2001	73%	7%	5%	15%	46%	27%
My dean would back me up in professional classroom practices.	2008	87%	1%	3%	8%	34%	53%
At Chabot, the general "campus climate" is one of respect for differences in :							
• race-ethnicity	1995	73%	3%	10%	14%	58%	16%
	2001	87%	0%	3%	10%	62%	24%
	2008	86%	2%	3%		52%	34%
• gender	1995	72%	2%	7%	20%	57%	15%
	2001	89%	1%	3%	7%	65%	24%
	2008	85%	1%	3%	11%	51%	33%
• physical disability	1995	81%	2%	6%	12%	58%	22%
	2001	86%	1%	2%	12%	58%	28%
	2008	87%	1%	2%	11%	52%	35%
• age	1995	78%	2%	7%	14%	63%	15%
	2001	89%	1%	2%	9%	65%	24%
	2008	85%	1%	2%	12%	52%	33%
• sexual orientation	1995	55%	5%	13%	26%	45%	10%
	2001	80%	1%	7%	11%	61%	19%
	2008	79%	1%	6%	14%	48%	31%
• native language	1995	56%	4%	15%	25%	48%	8%
	2001	75%	0%	6%	19%	55%	20%
	2008	81%	1%	3%	16%	52%	28%
• religion	1995	69%	1%			55%	14%
	2001	79%	1%			58%	21%
	2008	75%	1%	4%		47%	28%

		Percent who					
		Agree	P	ercentage	e of those 1	respondin	ıg
Standard I: Institutional Mission & Effectiveness (continued)		or	Strongly				Strongly
	5	Strongly Agree	Disagree	Disagree	Neutral*	Agree	Agree
B. Improving Institutional Effectiveness (continued)							
Integrity, Equity, Diversity (continued)							
1995: The following groups treat me with respect:							
2001: The following groups demonstrate honesty and truthfulness in their dealings with me	:						
• faculty	1995	82%	2%	6%	10%	48%	34%
	2001	80%	2%	8%	11%	55%	24%
	2008	81%	2%	3%	15%	53%	27%
• administrators	1995	75%	3%	6%	15%	48%	28%
	2001	60%	8%	13%	19%	44%	16%
	2008	72%	4%	7%	18%	50%	23%
• classified staff	1995	84%	1%	2%	12%	48%	37%
	2001	84%	2%	4%	11%	59%	24%
	2008	86%	1%	2%	11%	55%	31%
The college curriculum adequately addresses issues related to cultural diversity.	1995	51%	4%	20%	24%	37%	14%
	2001	66%	2%	8%	24%	50%	16%
	2008	61%	4%	8%	26%	41%	20%

		Percent who					
		Agree	P	ercentag	e of those 1	espondin	g
Standard I: Institutional Mission & Effectiveness (continued)		or	Strongly				Strongly
	S	Strongly Agree	Disagree	Disagree	Neutral*	Agree	Agree
B. Improving Institutional Effectiveness (continued)							
Integrity, Equity, Diversity (continued)							
I feel discrimination by other college staff on this campus.	1995	16%	36%	34%	15%	12%	3%
	2001	11%	41%	36%	11%	7%	5%
	2008	15%	47%	26%	12%	9%	6%
			Of thos	e feeling d	iscriminatio	on by colle	ge staff,
			percent	that attrib	ute it to ag	e, gender, o	ethnicity
		all staff	or	race, sexu	ıal orientati	on, or oth	er:
If I feel discrimination, it is based on my (you may check more than one):							
Age	1995	4%			30%		
	2001	6%			59%		
	2008	3%			26%		
Gender	1995	4%			30%		
	2001	4%			41%		
	2008	2%			18%		
Ethnicity or race	1995	8%			58%		
	2001	7%			63%		
	2008	7%			59%		
Sexual orientation	1995	3%			20%		
	2001	2%			15%		
	2008	<1%			3%		
Other	1995	7%			48%		
	2001	12%			107%		
	2008	6%			53%		

		Percent who					
		Agree	P	ercentage	e of those r	espondin	g
Standard II: Learning Programs and Services		or	Strongly				Strongly
	S	Strongly Agree	Disagree	Disagree	Neutral*	Agree	Agree
A. Instructional Programs							
In the classroom, faculty members at Chabot encourage a balanced perspective							
of multifaceted issues, beliefs and world views.	1995	65%	3%	9%	24%	51%	14%
	2001	74%	2%	5%	20%	52%	21%
	2008	67%	1%	7%	25%	43%	24%
Faculty have sufficient opportunities for input on matters of curriculum review,							
evaluation, and revision.	1995	71%	3%			55%	16%
	2001	63%	2%	15%	20%	45%	18%
	2008	65%	3%	8%	24%	47%	18%
Educational programs are continually reviewed for consistency with:							
the college mission.	1995	48%	5%	25%		40%	8%
	2001	33%	5%	28%	33%	29%	4%
the college mission.	2008	56%	3%	8%	33%	47%	9%
Chabot instructors demonstrate a commitment to high standards of teaching.	1995	76%	1%			50%	25%
	2001	72%	0%	7%	20%	51%	21%
	2008	81%	1%	3%	15%	48%	34%
Most students seem prepared to succeed in the courses in which they are enrolled.	1995	27%	8%	41%	25%	25%	2%
	2001	40%	6%	33%	21%	31%	9%
My students have the necessary skills required to succeed in my courses.	2008	48%	6%	17%	29%	35%	13%
The typical "A" grade given at Chabot represents excellent student achievement							
in a course.	1995	75%	4%			44%	31%
	2001	72%	5%	6%	17%	52%	20%
	2008	72%	2%	9%	17%	48%	24%

		Percent who					
		Agree	P	ercentage	e of those r	espondin	ıg
Standard II: Learning Programs and Services (continued)		or	Strongly				Strongly
	Stı	rongly Agree	Disagree	Disagree	Neutral*	Agree	Agree
B. Student Support Programs							
Counselors effectively advise students about appropriate academic courses.	1995	35%	9%	30%	25%	30%	5%
	2001	46%	9%	25%	20%	39%	7%
	2008	60%	3%	13%	25%	45%	15%
Counselors provide students with accurate and useful information about:							
• transfer requirements	1995	50%	6%	20%	24%	42%	8%
	2001	58%	6%	9%	26%	44%	14%
	2008	64%	2%	9%	25%	45%	19%
• technical-occupational programs	1995	44%	9%	18%	29%	36%	8%
	2001	47%	7%	16%	30%	35%	12%
	2008	58%	3%	8%	32%	41%	17%
English, ESL, and Math assessment test results have recommended							
appropriate classes for my students.	1995	45%	4%	24%		38%	8%
	2001	46%	7%	22%		37%	9%
	2008	54%	3%	8%	35%	38%	16%
The mid-term progress reports have been helpful in improving student success							
in my classroom.	1995	40%	13%	22%		29%	11%
	2001	48%	12%	18%		38%	9%
	2008	42%	6%			29%	13%
Written information about student support services is readily available.	1995	59%	4%			48%	11%
	2001	65%	1%	10%		51%	14%
Written information about student support services is readily available to faculty and staff.	2008	64%	1%	9%	26%	46%	18%

Chabot College Faculty/Staff Accreditation Surveys: 1995, 2001, and 2008 Comparisons

Of those who rel	ferred servi	ice to students	P	ercentage	of those	respondin	g
	and outco	ome is known,	Never	Heard of,	Referr	ed Student	ts and:
Standard II: Learning Programs and Services (continued)		percent	Heard	Never	Don't	Not	
who we	re satisfied	with outcome	of it	Referred	Know	Satisfied	Satisfied
B. Student Support Programs (continued)							
Admissions and Records	1995	32%	8%	18%	64%	7%	3%
	2001	90%	0%	5%	14%	8%	73%
	2008	94%	2%	7%	15%	4%	72%
Assessment Testing Center	1995	85%	4%	37%	25%	5%	29%
	2001	88%	5%	25%	28%	5%	37%
	2008	90%	5%	27%	27%	4%	37%
Counseling	1995	67%	14%	24%	43%	6%	12%
	2001	70%	0%	14%	25%	18%	42%
	2008	85%	3%	14%	24%	9%	50%
Financial Aid Office	1995	87%	1%	33%	31%	5%	31%
	2001	90%	0%	28%	30%	4%	38%
	2008	86%	2%	26%	27%	6%	38%
Student Activities (i.e., Clubs, Intramural, Special Events)	1995	87%	3%	36%	28%	4%	28%
Office of Student Life (Clubs, Activities, Events, Stu Gov)	2001	93%	3%	33%	26%	3%	35%
Office of Student Life (Clubs, Activities, Events, Stu Gov)	2008	87%	5%	34%	29%	4%	27%
Transfer Center	1995	89%	12%	37%	23%	3%	24%
Career Center	1995	93%	4%	37%	25%	2%	31%
Transfer/Career Center	2001	94%	3%	30%	26%	3%	39%
Transfer, Employment, and Career Services Center (TECS)	2008	89%	5%	32%	27%	4%	32%
Job Information	1995	69%	11%	38%	29%	7%	15%
Student Employment Services (on-campus jobs)	2001	89%	3%	32%	30%	4%	31%
Employment & Career Services Center (off-campus jobs/careers)	2001	90%	7%	34%	29%	3%	27%
Tutorials Center	1995	75%	2%	21%	32%	12%	34%
	2001	76%	2%	21%	29%	12%	37%
Peer Academic Tutoring Help or PATH (formerly Tutorials) Bldg 2300	2008	95%	9%	27%	25%	2%	37%
Student computer labs in departments	1995	84%	7%	29%	23%	7%	34%
	2001	83%	9%	17%	28%	8%	38%
Student computers in library	2008	95%	4%	23%	25%	3%	46%
Student computer labs in other departments	2008	93%	9%	27%	25%	3%	35%

Of those who re	ferred serv	rice to students	P	ercentage	of those	respondin	ıg
	and outc	ome is known,	Never	Heard of,	Referr	ed Studen	ts and:
Standard II: Learning Programs and Services (continued)		percent	Heard	Never	Don't	Not	
who we	ere satisfied	with outcome	of it	Referred	Know	Satisfied	Satisfied
B. Student Support Programs (continued)							
Student Services Computer Center in Bldg. 2300	1995	87%	16%	39%	24%	3%	19%
Student Online Services Center in Bldg. 100	2001	89%	17%	22%	25%	4%	32%
Student Online Services in Bldg 100	2008	94%	12%	25%	28%	2%	32%
Disabled Students Programs and Services (DSPS)	1995	95%	3%	29%	19%	2%	47%
	2001	94%	3%	22%	17%	3%	54%
	2008	97%	4%	21%	18%	2%	55%
PACE Program for Working Adults	1995	91%	10%	49%	16%	2%	23%
	2001	93%	6%	42%	24%	2%	26%
	2008	95%	10%	41%	20%	1%	28%
Daraja	1995	84%	19%	49%	10%	4%	19%
	2001	87%	19%	37%	25%	3%	17%
Puente	1995	90%	14%	52%	9%	2%	22%
	2001	90%	14%	39%	25%	2%	19%
Intercollegiate Athletics	1995	90%	5%	57%	14%	2%	22%
	2001	88%	2%	45%	20%	4%	29%
	2008	93%	6%	41%	21%	2%	30%
College Bookstore	1995	89%	0%	7%	21%	8%	64%
	2001	94%	0%	4%	19%	5%	73%
	2008	92%	1%	10%	16%	6%	67%
Security/Safety Services	1995	78%	0%	15%	25%	13%	47%
Campus Safety and Security	2001	92%	0%	5%	14%	6%	75%
	2008	97%	1%	12%	16%	2%	69%

		Percent who					
		gave	P	ercentage	e of those	respondin	ıg
Standard II: Learning Programs and Services (continued)		assignments		Nu	mber of ti	mes	
	4	or more times	None	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 plus
C. Library and Learning Support Programs							
Please indicate the number of times you have:							
1) given assignments in the last year that required use of the Chabot Library/LRC.	1995	21%	51%	28%		5%	7%
	2001	21%	55%	25%	13%	4%	4%
• given assignments that required the use of the Chabot library or library web site.	2008	28%	43%	29%		6%	7%
2) given research assignments that required use of the Chabot reference staff.	1995	11%	65%	25%	6%	2%	3%
	2001	13%	65%	22%	8%	3%	3%
	2008	19%	56%	25%	12%	4%	3%
		Percent who					
		Agree	P	ercentage	e of those	respondin	ıg
Standard II: Learning Programs and Services (continued)			Strongly				Strongly
	S	trongly Agree	Disagree	Disagree	Neutral*	Agree	Agree
C. Library and Learning Support Programs (continued)							
Resources available in the library/LRC are adequate							
for students to complete academic course work assignments.	1995	29%	28%	25%	18%	26%	3%
Resources available in the library are adequate							
for students to complete academic course work assignments.	2001	62%	3%	15%	21%	49%	12%
Resources are adequate for students to complete academic course work assignments:							
• in the Library.	2008	78%	2%	3%	17%	55%	23%
Faculty and staff are adequately involved in the selection of resource material							
to support their program areas.	1995	36%	14%	23%		27%	9%
Faculty and staff are adequately involved in the selection of library materials in their fields	2001	44%	3%	16%	37%	36%	8%
	2008	64%	2%	7%	27%	42%	22%
I have scheduled library orientation sessions for my classes.	1995	50%	16%	24%	10%	28%	22%
	2001	44%	11%	24%	22%	22%	21%
	2008		Yes: 29%	D	No: 71%		
If yes, the library orientation sessions adequately addressed the needs of my students.	1995	77%	5%	2%	15%	49%	28%
	2001	70%	1%	5%	24%	37%	33%
	2008	89%	2%	1%	8%	45%	44%

		Percent who	P	ercentage	of those r	espondin	g
		Agree					
Standard III: Resources		or	Strongly				Strongly
	S	Strongly Agree	Disagree	Disagree	Neutral*	Agree	Agree
A. Human Resources							
Hiring and evaluation							
Current evaluation procedures for non-tenured faculty are effective							
in making recommendations for tenure.	1995	61%	6%	15%	18%	43%	18%
	2001	60%	2%	14%	24%	50%	10%
	2008	57%	7%	9%	27%	42%	15%
Current evaluation procedures for administrators solicit and consider my opinion							
in assessing administrator effectiveness.	1995	11%	47%	28%	14%	9%	2%
	2001	27%	28%	23%	22%	20%	7%
	2008	27%	21%	23%	29%	22%	4%
Current student evaluation forms are adequate in helping faculty members							
assess teaching effectiveness.	1995	55%	6%	16%	23%	48%	8%
	2001	58%	10%	14%	17%	49%	10%
	2008	62%	4%	12%	21%	48%	14%
Teaching effectiveness is the principal criterion used in the selection of instructors.	1995	48%	9%	21%	23%	35%	12%
	2001	42%	11%	22%	25%	36%	6%
	2008	60%	3%	13%	23%	45%	16%
Personnel policies and procedures, i.e. workloads, retention, advancement,							
due process, privacy, and personnel policy development,							
are clearly stated and equitably applied.	1995	38%	11%	23%	28%	31%	7%
	2001	43%	11%	22%	23%	38%	5%
Human Resource policies and procedures: • are clearly stated.	2008	63%	5%	11%	21%	50%	13%
• are equitably applied.	2008	61%	3%	11%	25%	50%	11%
Part-time faculty members are encouraged to participate in decision-making activities							
in their teaching areas.	1995	32%	17%	33%	18%	25%	7%
	2001	38%	16%	30%	16%	29%	9%
	2008	43%	11%	22%	25%	34%	9%

		Percent who					
		Agree	P	ercentage	of those i	espondin	g
Standard III: Resources		or	Strongly				Strongly
	St	trongly Agree	Disagree	Disagree	Neutral*	Agree	Agree
A. Human Resources							
Staff Development							
Faculty are encouraged to experiment with new instructional approaches.	1995	52%	10%	12%	26%	41%	11%
	2001	54%	4%	13%	30%	45%	9%
	2008	67%	3%	6%	24%	48%	19%
My administrator/supervisor encourages and supports my participation.							
in professional development activities.	1995	69%	7%			43%	25%
	2001	68%	7%	11%	15%	41%	27%
	2008	67%	4%	6%	22%	42%	25%
Current evaluation procedures are effective in assessing job performance.							
and providing assistance in improving performance for: • classified staff	1995	34%	18%	25%	23%	31%	4%
	2001	39%	17%	16%	28%	28%	11%
	2008	49%	10%	15%	26%	40%	9%
• part-time faculty	1995	42%	20%	19%	19%	37%	5%
	2001	49%	10%	20%	21%	40%	8%
	2008	51%	6%	18%	25%	40%	11%
• non-tenured faculty	1995	61%	10%	12%	16%	46%	16%
	2001	60%	5%	18%	17%	49%	11%
	2008	58%	4%	10%	28%	44%	14%
• tenured faculty	1995	42%	18%	19%	21%	35%	7%
	2001	48%	9%	21%	22%	40%	8%
	2008	50%	5%	14%	31%	39%	10%
I feel respected and appreciated as an employee of Chabot College.	1995	55%	9%	14%	21%	40%	15%
	2001	55%	14%	12%	19%	40%	15%
	2008	67%	5%	11%	18%	48%	20%

		Percent who					
		Agree	ee Percentage of those responding				g
Standard III: Resources (continued)		or	Strongly				Strongly
	S	trongly Agree	Disagree	Disagree	Neutral*	Agree	Agree
B. Physical Resources (continued)							
Available equipment is appropriate and adequate for me							
to carry out required work responsibilities.	1995	39%		28%		36%	4%
Computer-related equipment is adequate for me to carry out my work responsibilities	2001	65%		14%		45%	21%
The office or work space provided for me is appropriate for my job responsibilities	2001	64%	8%	18%	9%	46%	18%
The office or work space provided for me is appropriate for my job responsibilities.	2008	58%		16%		45%	13%
Supplies (e.g., paper, classroom materials) have been readily available for my needs	1995	43%	18%			40%	3%
	2001	71%	7%	14%	8%	54%	17%
Supplies (eg paper, classroom materials) have been readily available to support my job/tea	2008	71%	6%	11%	13%	56%	15%
The quality of current custodial services on campus is satisfactory							
and consistent in providing a clean and pleasant environment.	1995	37%	16%			30%	7%
Custodial services on campus provide a clean and pleasant environment.	2001	59%	6%	16%	19%	47%	13%
	2008	64%	7%	12%	17%	47%	17%
Requests for maintenance and/or repair of buildings are handled							
in a timely manner and with satisfactory results.	1995	28%	19%	33%	20%	23%	5%
Requests for maintenance and/or repair of buildings are handled:							
• in a timely manner	2001	48%	12%	21%		38%	10%
• with satisfactory results	2001	58%	6%	12%		47%	11%
Requests for maintenance and repair of buildings are: • handled in a timely manner	2008	54%	7%	18%		44%	10%
• with adequate results.	2008	61%	6%	12%		48%	13%
The landscaping on campus is well-maintained.	1995	70%	3%	10%		50%	20%
The campus landscaping and playing fields are well-maintained.	2001	77%	4%			58%	19%
	2008	73%	3%	7%	17%	54%	19%
In the planning and design phase of new or remodeled facilities:							
faculty are adequately involved.	1995	35%	15%	28%	22%	30%	5%
In the planning, design, and implementation phase of new or remodeled facilities:	•	202	40~	40~		24~	. ~
the needs of the faculty are adequately considered.	2001	39%	10%	18%	32%	31%	8%
In the planning and design phase of new or remodeled facilities:	1005	40.54	100	2.5		4 = ~	. ~
classified staff are adequately involved.	1995	19%	19%	36%	26%	15%	4%
In the planning, design, and implementation phase of new or remodeled facilities:	2001	22 ~	100	22~	2.4~	0.68	- ~
the needs of the classified staff are adequately considered.	2001	32%	13%	22%	34%	26%	5%
In the planning, design, and implementation of new and remodeled facilities the needs							
of my discipline/program/service area were adequately considered.	2008	47%	11%	19%	23%	37%	11%

		Percent who									
		Agree	ee Percentage of those			responding					
Standard III: Resources (continued)		or	Strongly				Strongly				
	St	rongly Agree	Disagree	Disagree	Neutral*	Agree	Agree				
B. Physical Resources (continued)											
It is clear what action should be taken on campus in case of: • a personal injury	1995	47%	9%	27%	17%	40%	7%				
	2001	66%	4%	17%	13%	58%	8%				
	2008	68%	4%			49%	19%				
• an emergency (e.g., fire, earthquake)	1995	46%	10%			38%	8%				
	2001	60%	8%			50%	10%				
	2008	65%	5%	13%	17%	48%	17%				
		Percent who									
		Agree	p	ercentag	e of those i	hose responding					
Standard III: Resources		O	Strongly	creentag	e of those i	съронат	Strongly				
bullul a 111 Resources	Ç4	rongly Agree		Disagraa	Noutral*	Agraa					
C. Technology	31	Toligly Agree	Disagree	Disagree	Neutrai	Agree	Agree				
C. Technology											
In the Graphics/Print Shop, the hours and assistance are sufficient for me	1995	62%	9%	18%	11%	47%	15%				
	2001	68%	8%	13%	11%	49%	19%				
	2008	81%	2%	5%	12%	57%	24%				
In the Media Services department: • the hours and assistance are sufficient for me	1995	74%	3%	8%	15%	57%	17%				
	2001	83%	3%	3%	11%	56%	27%				
	2008	75%	4%	7%	15%	54%	21%				
• the equipment provided is properly maintained	1995	77%	3%			57%	20%				
	2001	81%	3%			54%	27%				
	2008	76%	3%			54%	21%				

	F	Percent who						
		Agree	Percentage of those responding					
Standard IV: Leadership and Governance		or	Strongly				Strongly	
	Stro	ongly Agree	Disagree	Disagree	Neutral*	Agree	Agree	
The Board of Trustees:	10051	120	2.464	266	20.64	110/	1.07	
equitably represents the interests of Chabot.	1995	12%	24%	36%		11%	1%	
	2001	17%	29%	32%		15%	2%	
	2008	43%	9%	15%		36%	7%	
• exhibits interest in and understanding of the College's programs, services, and needs.	1995	18%	19%	32%		17%	0%	
	2001	18%	28%	32%		17%	1%	
	2008	50%	7%	10%	34%	41%	9%	
College Management/Administration								
The practice of shared governance has been adequately promoted and implemented								
by the college administration.	1995	27%	18%	26%	30%	22%	5%	
-78	2001	32%	19%	26%		28%	3%	
	2008	45%	9%	14%	32%	37%	8%	
Important recommendations/decisions are implemented in a timely manner								
by the college administration.	1995	19%	15%	36%	29%	19%	0%	
	2001	14%	23%	28%	34%	13%	2%	
	2008	33%	11%	20%	35%	27%	6%	
The college climate encourages faculty, staff and administration								
to value and strive for cooperative and mutually respectful working conditions.	1995	44%	12%	23%	20%	36%	8%	
	2001	46%	13%	19%		38%	8%	
	2008	71%	3%	9%	17%	54%	17%	
·	'							
District Management/Administration								
MIS/ITS services are administered to meet the needs of the College.	1995	43%	9%	20%		39%	5%	
	2001	39%	15%	21%	25%	34%	5%	
Information Technology Services (ITS) is administered to meet the needs of the College.	2008	59%	6%	9%		49%	11%	
District administration is responsive to the needs of the College.	1995	12%	31%	34%	23%	11%	0%	
	2001	15%	26%	39%	19%	13%	2%	
The District: Responsiveness by the District administration to Chabot needs.	2008	25%	11%	22%	42%	19%	6%	

	I	Percent who					
		Agree	P	ercentage	e of those r	espondin	g
Standard IV: Leadership and Governance (continued)		or	r Strongly				Strongly
-	Str	ongly Agree	Disagree	Disagree	Neutral*	Agree	Agree
Faculty							
There is adequate faculty participation in the development of: institutional policy.	1995	25%	10%			21%	4%
	2001	27%	11%	30%	32%	25%	3%
There is adequate faculty participation in the development of institutional policy.	2008	44%	7%	10%	39%	36%	7%
The Academic Senate has been effective in communicating faculty professional concerns							
to the college administration.	1995	60%	3%			50%	10%
	2001	45%	8%	14%	33%	38%	7%
The Academic Senate has been effective in communicating concerns							
of the faculty to the college administration.	2008	56%	4%	6%		47%	9%
As a member of the faculty, I feel respected and valued by the college administration.	1995	48%	10%	20%		36%	12%
	2001	40%	20%	21%	19%	34%	6%
	2008	56%	7%	10%	27%	43%	13%
Classified Staff							
The Classified Senate has been effective in communicating concerns							
of the classified staff to the college administration.	1995	29%	10%	11%	50%	26%	3%
	2001	46%	4%	18%	31%	41%	6%
	2008	54%	4%	6%	36%	44%	10%
Students/Student Government/Student Activities							
Students are appropriately involved in the governance of the College.	1995	28%	12%	27%	33%	25%	3%
11 1 1-1-9 8-1	2001	43%	4%	19%		40%	3%
	2008	44%	4%			38%	7%
The administration provides adequate means for students							
to have their desires and concerns addressed.	1995	38%	8%	20%	35%	33%	5%
	2001	44%	6%	14%		38%	6%
	2008	49%	5%	12%	34%	43%	6%