

Welcome. Dear Chabot Community Member,

Welcome to Fall 2022 Program and Area Review! This is an "Update Year" in which we will ask you to **briefly** reflect on aspects of your own PAR submission and the overarching campus trends from the Fall 2021 Comprehensive PAR year. After your dean/manager has approved your PAR draft, please enter your responses here into Qualtrics\* (and for resource requests, enter into [Cognito](#)). This will allow the PAR committee to provide analysis of campus-wide trends to the college. (To see the analysis of last year's PAR data, check out the [Fall 2021 PAR Synthesis Statement](#).)

**\*Please plan to do your data entry in one sitting and remember to hit submit** (we do not have control over whether partial responses in Qualtrics get saved)!

Thanks,  
The Program and Area Review Committee

Q1. Has your dean/manager approved your PAR and informed you it is ready to be submitted as a final draft on Qualtrics?

- Yes
- No

## Q2. Background Information

Q3. What organizational unit and division does your program/area belong to?

\* If you are not sure which organizational unit your program/area belongs to, you might find it helpful to refer to this [list](#).

Organizational Unit

Division

Name of Program, Discipline, Area or Service

Q4. If you selected "Other" in the previous question, please enter your Program/Discipline/Area/Service name here

*This question was not displayed to the respondent.*

Q5. Name(s) of the person or people who contributed to this review:

Daniel Quigley Tess Weathers Safiyyah Forbes

Q6. Which PAR Template (word template) did you fill out?

\*Please check this [list](#) to make sure that you filled out the correct template.

- Academic Programs
- Student/Admin Services/Office of the President

## Q7. Campus-Wide Issues

Q8.

**Context:** Last year, the PAR Committee analyzed ~100 PAR responses to the comprehensive Fall 2021 PAR. Based on this analysis, the PAR committee presented policy ideas in shared governance committees and to senior leadership. Additionally, Deans were asked to analyze PARs within their areas to identify "infrastructure or college wide issues needing immediate attention." The most frequently mentioned policy ideas and/or infrastructure issues are listed below.

**Question: How important do you believe it is to address the following issues to support Chabot in carrying out our mission? Please drag the response options and order them from most important (1) to least important (7).**

Staffing Issues: Work with the district to further investigate hiring obstacles and collaboratively create policy solutions.	1
Student Registration/Application Process: Improve registration/application process for students	2
Access to Counseling: Evaluate what funding, resources, or structural changes would be needed to ensure all students have access to the high quality counseling services that Chabot provides.	3
Learning Communities: Research how to expand learning communities (e.g., Umoja, Puente, CIN, MESA, FYE, Guided Pathways, etc.) and learning-community-type supports to wider groups of students.	4
Technological Systems: Examine Chabot's processes and structure for implementing and orienting employees to system-wide technological change and consider ways to solicit campus-wide input.	5
Funding: Secure funding for programs and college-wide events	6
Facilities: Maximize usage of and planning for facilities	7

**Q9. Question: If you believe there is an important issue to address to carry out the college mission that is NOT mentioned in the previous list, please describe below (optional).**

Reduce time and paperwork for approvals. Difficult to plan out activities, field trips, purchasing, etc. when you need to plan months in advance

### Q10. Reflections on Goals Established in Fall 2021 PAR

**Q11. Context:** In Fall 2021 PAR, after reflecting on data, you established program/area goals to support the college mission and continuous program improvement. This spreadsheet lists the [goals you established in your Fall 2021 PAR](#).

**Question: Please take a look at your goals to determine:**

- All goals are still relevant and nothing needs to be changed or added.
- All goals are still relevant, but I would like to add an additional goal. (Please fill in your new goal, so we can update the spreadsheet.)

- Some goals are relevant and some need to be changed. (Please explain below, so we can update your goals in the spreadsheet.)

- None of our goals are still relevant. We need to update all of them. (Please provide us with your new goals, so we can update your goals in the spreadsheet.)

**Q12. Question: What are the statuses of your program's/area's goals right now?** (Note: You have the entire PAR cycle to complete the goals you established in your Fall 2021 PAR.)

- All goals are achieved.
- Some goals are achieved and some are in progress.
- All goals are in progress.
- Some goals are in progress and some are not started.
- No goals are started because... [please explain in text box below].

**Q13. Context:** To assess how well you are doing with respect to meeting your program's/area's goals, you included [expected goal outputs and outcomes in your Fall 2021 PAR](#).

"Outputs" are direct short-term results like # of students served, workshops held, etc. Longer-term goals might also have expected *outcomes*. "Outcomes" are longer-term results, like course success rates or degrees earned. Goals are often measured by whether "outputs" or "outcomes" are achieved.

The Office of Research, Planning and Institutional Effectiveness (ORPIE) posts a variety of data for programs/areas to assess goal "outcomes": [enrollments and success rates](#), [enrollment management](#), [success rates of online vs hybrid vs face-face-classes](#), [degree and certificate awards](#), and [more](#). To request additional data for goal assessment, please fill out a [research request form](#) by Friday September 23, 2022. ORPIE will process requests in the order received. ORPIE will let you know whether they have the requested data and/or how your program/area could collect your own.

**Question: So far, what is going well and what are some challenges regarding completing your program's/area's goals? You could include reflections on: achievement of outputs or outcomes and/or challenges with producing outputs or outcomes so far.**

Goal 1 Outputs: Articulation agreements have been made with several high schools and summer bridge courses are offered and taken by HUSD students. Outreach to high school to advertise programs, summer bridge, and high school articulated courses will begin in Fall 2022. Goal 1 Outcomes: Engineering has decreased enrollment along with many other disciplines at Chabot. Our race/ethnicity is approaching the overall campus makeup, however, we will need to increase the number of black students entering the engineering program. Women entering the engineering field has decreased. Goal 1 Challenges: Covid stopped articulation and coordination with high schools. We are working to establish relationships again including outreach, advertising of summer bridge, and articulation with high schools. ENGR 11 articulation agreements were also stopped due to covid, where we will need to have a design competition at the end of spring semester. Summer bridge will also need to be expanded. Goal 2 Outputs: Implemented a work based learning program in Fall 2022 that includes guest speakers, job shadowing, field trips, and University tours. Goal 2 Outcomes: No outcomes will be available until next year Goal 2 Challenges: Current work based learning is funded through HSI STEM grant. Need to determine how to insert these activities in current courses, update STEM 1 course to include activities, or create a credit/noncredit course to continue these activities in the future. Goal 3 Outputs: We have made curriculum changes to engineering courses to maintain articulation with UC's and CSU's. Still need to continue to monitor curriculum and required engineering courses for transfer. Goal 3 Outcomes: Still need data showing number of schools articulating each course Goal 3 Challenges: UC's and CSU's are constantly changing course requirements for engineering majors.

**Q14. Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) and Program Learning Outcomes (PLOs)**

**Q15. Context:** Assessment for SLOs and PLOs happens at varying times of year on a five-year cycle. SLO and PLO assessments are submitted in [CurricUNET/META](#). Please take a look at the [SLO Assessment Report](#) to answer the following.

**Question: Is the assessment for all SLOs in your program up to date?**

- Yes
- Almost. We just need to assess SLOs in 1-3 courses. (Please fill in courses due for SLO assessments and the date you plan to complete those.)

- No. We have to assess SLOs in 4 or more courses. (Please fill in courses in need of SLO assessments and the date you plan to complete those.)

**Q16. Context:** In response to a core inquiry by the visiting accreditation team, the Outcomes and Assessment Committee updated PLO assessment questions to gather more robust evidence of continuous improvement. Programs will see these updated questions when they next log in to [CurricUNET](#). Thanks to the programs who already filled out the updated PLO questions, we were able to create evidence of continuous improvement for our core inquiry report!

### Do I need to fill out the updated PLO questions in CurricUNET?

- Programs that submitted an incomplete PLO assessment or did not submit an assessment in the past five years DO need to fill out the PLO assessment in [CurricUNET](#).
  - Programs who did not submit a complete PLO assessment are highlighted in pink on this [PLO Assessment Completion Report](#)
- Programs who are shown as having completed PLO assessments within the past five years on this [PLO Assessment Completion Report](#) do NOT need to fill out PLO assessments at this time. Completed PLO assessments are shown with a green box with a hyperlinked "X." You can wait to complete the updated PLO assessment on the regular five-year cycle.

If you are not sure whether you need to fill out the PLO assessment in CurricUNET, then email Lannibeth Calvillo [lcalvillo@chabotcollege.edu](mailto:lcalvillo@chabotcollege.edu) or Dean Safiyah Forbes [sforbes@chabotcollege.edu](mailto:sforbes@chabotcollege.edu).

### Question: Has your program completed a PLO assessment in the last five years?

- Yes
- No. Please explain why and include when you will complete the updated PLO assessment.

**Q17. Context:** Chabot strives to continually improve how we serve students and the community (assessment and continuous improvement are also requirements for accreditation). In your SLO assessments in CurricUNET/META, you are asked: "Based on assessment results, what actions might your discipline take to improve student learning?" Similarly, in the prior PLO assessments you were asked to describe "recommended changes/actions," and in the updated PLO assessments you are asked, "What are you planning to start doing, stop doing or change in order to continuously improve your program?" In the comprehensive PAR year (not this update year), you will be asked to report back on how your actions to improve SLOs and PLOs impacted student learning. In this update year, we are simply reminding you that your actions and plans to improve student learning, based on SLO/PLO assessment results, should be underway.

If you want to see how you responded to these SLO/PLO continuous improvement questions:

- Go to the [SLO Completion Report](#) and the [PLO Completion Report](#).
- Look up your program by division, subject, and program name. There will be a hyperlinked "x" in the column for the year in which you submitted your SLO/PLO assessments.
- Click on the "x" and you can look up what you submitted as plans for SLO and PLO continual improvements (i.e., your responses to the questions on actions to improve student learning and continuously improve your program).
- If you have any questions about how to find your prior assessments, please email Lannibeth Calvillo at [lcalvillo@chabotcollege.edu](mailto:lcalvillo@chabotcollege.edu).

**Question: Please check one of the following boxes to describe how your discipline is doing with regards to plans/actions for improving student learning based on SLO/PLO assessment data.**

- Great! We are far along in implementing our plans to improve student learning and our program.
- Good. We have started some actions, but we still have work to do.
- Not so good. We haven't started yet. Please fill in your plans to address below. (Example fictitious response: "Based on our SLO assessment in Fall 2021, we decided we wanted to build lessons specifically geared towards boosting students' scientific writing skills. But with Covid-19 hitting and having to constantly pivot with online and face-to-face modalities, we just haven't had time to work on the lesson plan. In our discipline meeting this December, we will invite all full-time faculty, part-time faculty and our Dean and we will put together a team to work on the lesson plan.")

### Q18. Service Area Outcomes (SAOs)

*This question was not displayed to the respondent.*

**Q19. Context:** In response to a core inquiry by the visiting accreditation team, programs with service area outcomes were requested to fill out the [SAO Assessment Updates Survey](#) in Qualtrics. The majority of programs and areas filled this out and we were able to create an evidence document for our core inquiry report-great work Chabot!

Do I need to fill out the [SAO Assessment Updates Survey](#) in Qualtrics?

- Please refer to this [SAO 2022 Assessment Update SPREADSHEET](#)
- If your programs'/areas' "Date of Last Assessment," is listed as "NA newly created" or is blank (!), then please fill out the survey in Qualtrics.
- Additionally, if you did not fill out the survey in Qualtrics in 2022, then check in the spreadsheet to see if your "Results of Last Assessment" include clear plans for continuous improvement (i.e., ideas for things that you will do that make your program/area more successful). If not, you should also fill out the survey in Qualtrics.
- If you are not sure whether you need to fill out a survey, then email Lannibeth Calvillo [icalvillo@chabotcollege.edu](mailto:icalvillo@chabotcollege.edu) or Dean Safiyyah Forbes [sforbes@chabotcollege.edu](mailto:sforbes@chabotcollege.edu)

**Question: Please check the statement that best describes your program's/area's SAO assessments.**

*This question was not displayed to the respondent.*

### Q20. From Goals and SLO/PLO/SAO Continuous Improvement Plans to Resource Requests

**Q21. Context:** The basis for Chabot's resource allocation process is grounded in reflections on: 1) PAR goals, 2) plans for improving student learning that are grounded in SLO and PLO assessment results, and 3) SAO assessment results. Please consider what augmentations or new resources might be needed to achieve: 1) your PAR goals, 2) plans to improve student learning, and/or 3) reach SAOs.

**Question: Which of your PAR goals, plans for improving student learning, and/or plans for reaching SAOs will need augmented or new resources?**

**\*\*Note you will still need to enter resource requests into Cognito after submitting your PAR on Qualtrics.**

We will need full time personnel to continue to help coordinate and implement our work based learning, summer bridge, and articulation between high schools and universities.

### Q22. Optional: Campus-wide Reflection on Current Issue

This optional section of the update-year PAR contains question(s) on current issues impacting our campus.

Q23. As Chabot evolves our operating procedures in response to the Covid-19-pandemic: what does your program/area believe is important to keep in mind regarding online vs. hybrid vs. face-to-face instruction, service delivery, and working environments?

Before the pandemic, engineering faculty had difficulty scheduling classes, attending meetings, and working with students due to the number of different courses we must teach and the need to avoid other STEM courses so students can transfer. With decreasing FTES, hybrid/hyflex modality is the best way to capture as many students into our program. Students are working while taking classes and hybrid gives them time to work and take classes on their own schedule. Due to these challenges facing our students and program, engineering is moving toward the hybrid model for all of its courses. This allows us to schedule labs in person without overlapping with other courses that students will need to take in the same semester. Although the switch to online was difficult for many, engineering was still able to offer in person labs from Fall 2020. Thus we have had two years to find a balance between synchronous/asynchronous lectures and in person labs. In Fall 2019, our success rates were at 63% and in Spring 2022 our success rates were 64% when we switched to the hybrid model. We will continue to monitor success rates to determine which modality will be best for students. As classes continue to be offered online, Chabot also needs to offer hyflex modalities for events, meetings, etc. On campus meetings are not always viable for all faculty needing to attend due to variations in schedule.

Q24. Thank you for completing the annual update questions for the Fall 2022 PAR!

**But WAIT!! You might not be quite done yet...**

**Resource Requests:**

- Have you completed all your resource requests? If not, go to the [Resource Requests Form](#) to add or update requests for: contracts and services, equipment; facilities; human resources; professional development, travel and conferences; supplies and software; or technology.

**Categorical Funding Applications:**

Please note that some requests can be funded through categorical funds. If your funding request meets the requirements for Student Access Success and Equity (SASE) or Career Education funding, please follow the links below to fill out their applications.

- The **Student Access Success and Equity (SASE) committee** “develops, leads, and supports campus initiatives that strengthen student access, success, and equity.” SASE “provides a platform for collaboration and communication across the college that will result in the coordination of basic skills, student access, success, and equity efforts campus-wide.” If you believe that any of your funding requests meet these requirements, then please fill out their application for funding here:
  - [SASE Funding Application](#)
  - Please contact the SASE committee with any questions: Administrative Tri-Chair Gabe Chaparro [gchaparro@chabotcollege.edu](mailto:gchaparro@chabotcollege.edu)
- **Career Education** funds are available for projects that: 1) support a program with a CTE TOP code or 2) continue a current project in SWP or 3) are eligible for Perkins Grants or 4) meet other criteria such as core indicators and labor market demand. If you believe that any of your funding requests meet these requirements, then please fill out the CE application for funding here:
  - [Career Education Funding Application](#)
    - Please submit one form per project/TOP code, keeping in mind that funding for multiple projects per area is limited.
    - If you are not sure whether you have a program that qualifies for CE funding, please reach out to Christina Read [cread@chabotcollege.edu](mailto:cread@chabotcollege.edu), Administrative Tri-Chair of the Career Education Committee.

**Location Data**

**Location:** [\(39.5139, -119.9833\)](#)

**Source:** GeolIP Estimation

